UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,479	07/28/2003	Sergey Brin	0026-0149	7460
44989 HARRITY & H	7590 01/12/201 [ARRITY, LLP	EXAMINER		
11350 Random		HARPER, LEON JONATHAN		
SUITE 600 FAIRFAX, VA 22030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2166	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/conti
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/629,479
Review	HOSAINIT ALAN

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/629,479	BRIN ET AL.
	Art Unit
HOSAIN T. ALAM	2166

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Rev	riew filed 24 September 2009.			
 Improper Request – The Request is improper ar reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concur ☐ The request does not include reasons why a re ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Proposed ☐ Other: 	view is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run fr the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
☐ The panel has determined the status of the classical Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 37-45,49,51-57,63-74, 77-89. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:			
3. ☐ Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits rer applicant at this time.				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been had action will be mailed. No further action is required by a				
All participants:				
(1) <u>HOSAIN T. ALAM</u> .	(3) <u>GRETA ROBINSON</u> .			
(2) <u>LEON HARPER</u> .	(4)			
/Hosain T Alam/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art				

Unit 2166